

SC Annual School District Report Card Summary

Lexington Two School District

Grades: PK-12 Enrollment: 8,865 Superintendent: Venus J. Holland, Ed.D. Board Chair: William H. "Bill" Bingham, Jr.

PERFORMANCE

Comprehensive detail, including definitions of ratings, performance criteria, and explanations of status, is available on www.ed.sc.gov and www.eoc.sc.gov as well as school and school district websites. Printed versions are available from school districts upon request.

| YEAR | ABSOLUTE RATING | GROWTH RATING | PALMETTO GOLD AND SILVER AWARD | | ESEA/FEDERAL / | ACCOUNTABILITY RATING SYSTEM |
|------|-----------------|---------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------------------|
| | | | General Performance | Closing the Gap | ESEA Grade | Accountability Indicator |
| 2012 | Average | Excellent | N/A | N/A | В | N/A |
| 2011 | Below Average | Average | N/A | N/A | Not Met | CA |
| 2010 | Average | Good | N/A | N/A | Not Met | CA |

ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF DISTRICTS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS*

| EXCELLENT | GOOD | AVERAGE | BELOW AVERAGE | AT-RISK |
|-----------|------|---------|---------------|---------|
| 5 | 6 | 13 | 1 | 1 |

^{*} Ratings are calculated with data available by 11/07/2012. Districts with Students Like Ours are Districts with Poverty Indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for this district.

| PASS | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| PASS | 2012 Reading | 2012 Math | 2012 Science | 2012 Social Studies | 2012 Writing |
| | % Met or above | % Met or above | % Met or above | % Met or above | % Met or above |
| District | 71.4% | 70.2% | 71.5% | 74.8% | 68.5% |
| Districts with Students Like Ours** | 72.3% | 72.3% | 69.8% | 72.9% | 71.8% |
| Average District | 74.9% | 74.3% | 72.1% | 74.8% | 74.0% |

| PASS | 2012 Reading | 2012 Math | 2012 Science | 2012 Social Studies | 2012 Writing |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| | %Exemplary | %Exemplary | %Exemplary | %Exemplary | %Exemplary |
| District | 40.5% | 32.6% | 23.8% | 36.0% | 32.8% |
| Districts with Students Like Ours** | 39.2% | 33.5% | 22.7% | 31.7% | 34.0% |
| Average District | 42.7% | 36.3% | 24.8% | 34.6% | 37.3% |

| HSAP | | | |
|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| HSAP: 2nd Year Students | Passed 2 Subtests (%) | Passed 1 Subtest (%) | Passed No Subtests (%) |
| District | 71.8% | 15.5% | 12.8% |
| Districts with Students Like Ours** | 77.5% | 13.2% | 9.2% |

| HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2012 (%) | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------|--|--|--|
| District | 89.7% | | | |
| Districts with Students Like Ours** | 91.2% | | | |

| End of Course Test Passage Rate (% | %) |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| District | 70.8% |
| Districts with Students Like Ours** | 68.1% |

| On-Time Graduation Rate (%) | |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| District | 70.8% |
| Districts with Students Like Ours** | 76.1% |

^{**} Districts with Students Like Ours are districts with Poverty Indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for this district.

NAEP*

* Performance reported for SC and nation, data not available at school level. Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels.

| READING – GRAD | E 4 (2011) | 1 | | | | |
|-----------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------|---------|---|--|
| South Carolina | 39 | 33 | 22 | 6 | | |
| Nation | 34 | 34 | 25 | 7 | | |
| READING – GRAD | E 8 (2011) | | | | | |
| South Carolina | 28 | 45 | | 25 | 2 | |
| Nation | 25 | 43 | | 29 | 3 | |
| | % Below Bas | ic % Basic, Profic | ient, and A | dvanced | | |
| ■ Below Basic □ B | asic 🔲 Proficier | t Advanced | | | | |
| MATH – GRADE 4 (2011) | | | | | | |
| South Carolina | 21 | 43 | 3 | 31 | 5 | |

| WATH - GRADE 4 | (2011) | I | | |
|-------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------|----|
| South Carolina | 21 | 43 | 31 | 5 |
| Nation | 18 | 42 | 33 | 6 |
| MATH – GRADE 8 | 3 (2011) | | | |
| South Carolina | 30 | 38 | 25 | 7 |
| Nation | 28 | 39 | 26 | 8 |
| | % Below Basic | % Basic, Proficient | , and Advanc | ed |
| ■ Relow Basic □ I | Rasic Proficie | ent Advanced | | |

| SCIENCE – GRA | DE 4 (| 2009) | 1 | | | | |
|----------------|--------|---------------|------------------|--------|--------|--------|---|
| South Carolina | | 28 | 38 | | 3 | 13 | 1 |
| Nation | | 29 | 39 | | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| SCIENCE – GRA | DE 8 (| 2009) | | | | | |
| South Carolina | | 45 | 32 | 2 | 22 | 1 | |
| Nation | | 38 | 33 | | 28 | 1 | |
| | 9 | 6 Below Basic | % Basic, Profici | ent, a | and Ad | vanced | |
| Below Basic | Basic | ☐ Proficient | ■ Advanced | | | | |

SC PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

Lexington Two School District DISTRICT PROFILE

| | Our District | Change from Last Year | Districts with Students Like Ours | Median District |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Students (n=8,865) | | | | |
| Retention rate | 1.3% | Down from 1.9% | 2.2% | 2.0% |
| Attendance rate | 96.9% | Down from 97.8% | 96.0% | 96.1% |
| Served by gifted and talented program | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV |
| With disabilities other than speech | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV |
| Older than usual for grade | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV |
| Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses | 0.8% | Up from 0.5% | 0.4% | 0.6% |
| Enrolled in AP/IB programs | 22.8% | Down from 27.7% | 10.8% | 13.5% |
| Successful on AP/IB exams | 21.7% | Down from 28.9% | 50.0% | 49.9% |
| Eligible for LIFE Scholarship | 30.4% | Down from 47.5% | 32.6% | 30.3% |
| Enrolled in adult education GED or diploma programs | 151 | Down from 178 | 79 | 59 |
| Completions in adult education GED or diploma programs | 93 | Down from 134 | 39 | 31 |
| Annual dropout rate | 4.7% | Up from 0.9% | 3.0% | 2.7% |
| Teachers (n=595) | | | | |
| Teachers with advanced degrees | 67.1% | Up from 66.1% | 64.4% | 62.4% |
| Continuing contract teachers | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV |
| Teachers returning from previous year | 90.9% | Up from 89.4% | 91.6% | 91.1% |
| Teacher attendance rate | 94.8% | Down from 95.0% | 95.1% | 95.1% |
| Average teacher salary* | \$48,130 | Up 0.8% | \$47,114 | \$46,595 |
| Vacancies for more than nine weeks | 0.3% | Up from 0.2% | 0.0% | 0.1% |
| Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers | 3.8% | Up from 1.2% | 1.7% | 2.1% |
| Professional development days/teacher | 15.6 days | Up from 14.4 days | 13.1 days | 12.4 days |
| District | | | | |
| Superintendent's years at district | 4.0 | Up from 3.0 | 3.8 | 3.0 |
| Student-teacher ratio in core subjects | 24.7 to 1 | Up from 21.9 to 1 | 21.8 to 1 | 21.7 to 1 |
| Prime instructional time | 90.8% | Down from 92.0% | 89.7% | 89.9% |
| Dollars spent per pupil** | \$9,137 | Up 1.2% | \$8,892 | \$8,866 |
| Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries** | 56.6% | Up from 56.2% | 53.4% | 53.1% |
| Percent of expenditures for instruction** | 58.9% | Down from 60.1% | 55.8% | 55.9% |
| Opportunities in the arts | Excellent | No Change | Excellent | Excellent |
| Number of schools | 15 | Down from 16 | 10 | 9 |
| Portable classrooms | 20.2% | Up from 0.6% | 0.4% | 1.4% |
| Number of schools with SACS accreditation | 14.0 | Down from 16.0 | 10.0 | 8.0 |
| Parents attending conferences | 91.1% | Down from 99.4% | 99.6% | 99.5% |
| Average administrator salary | \$84,268 | Up 2.5% | \$77,967 | \$77,744 |
| Number of charter schools | 0 | No Change | 0 | 0 |
| ESEA composite index score * Length of contract = 185+ days. | 85.2 | N/A | 85.5 | 85.6 |

RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT FACILITIES STUDENT PERFORMANCE

| | PASS | HSAP | End-of-Course Tests |
|--------------|------|------|---------------------|
| Passage Rate | N/A | I/S | I/S |

CHARTER SCHOOLS IN DISTRICT

| | | | | - |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------|---|
| School Name | Absolute Rating | Growth Rating | ESEA Grade | |
| There are no charter schools in this district | | | | |

Comprehensive detail, including definitions of ratings, performance criteria, and explanations of status, is available on www.ed.sc.gov and www.eoc.sc.gov as well as school and school district websites.

Printed versions are available from school districts upon request.

REPORT OF SUPERINTENDENT

During the 2011/12 school year, Lexington School District Two implemented reconfiguration of three of our schools. As a result, we served approximately 8,900 students in two early childhood centers, seven elementary schools, four middle schools, two high schools, an alternative school, and an adult education center. System wide, our instructional focus was based on nationally recognized best practices, which included the implementation of data teams through a collaboration with The Leadership and Learning Center. This research-based process is aimed at improving student achievement through data-driven decision making.

Despite the state's slow economic recovery, which continued to impact revenue, with the support of our Board of Trustees, Lexington School District Two garnered a wide variety of national and state awards. At the national level, Fulmer Middle School was designated as a School-to-Watch by the Forum to Accelerate Middle Grades Reform, and Busbee Creative Arts Academy received the Apple Exemplary Program Award. In addition, the District was featured in Education Executive magazine, which highlighted professional development cohorts and instructional snapshot visits. Along with these awards, the District received the Certificate of Excellence in Financial Reporting.

At the state level, the following schools achieved either a Palmetto Gold or Silver Award for Academic Achievement or Closing the Achievement Gap: Airport High, Fulmer Middle (both), B-C Grammar No. 1, Congaree Elementary, Northside Middle, Pineview Elementary, Saluda River Academy for the Arts, Springdale Elementary, Taylor Elementary, Pine Ridge Middle, and Wood Elementary. In addition, Airport High School was recognized as an Honor Roll School Improvement Council in the Dick and Tunky Riley Award program, while our Adult Education Program received numerous state awards. Also, the District was one of only seven in South Carolina to be awarded an E2T2 technology grant. Additionally, the District was the recipient of the SC Gateways Grant, Midlands Consortium Grant, and the Food for Thought Grant.

Lexington Two continued to encourage excellence through professional growth. We implemented a blended learning program for our induction teachers known as MODEL, which incorporated live e-learning with self-paced instruction. System wide, we provided staff development through our Professional Cohorts. Also, our Early Release Program provided opportunities for vertical articulation and collaboration on instructional topics, which yielded action research-based products. Furthermore, District staff members showcased instructional initiatives through presentations at the South Carolina School Board Conference, Title I Conference, Innovation Ideas Institute, Middle Level Conference, and Schools-to-Watch National Conference.

The District continued research-based endeavors which were designated to increase rigor and improve academic achievement for all learners. These initiatives included: Habits of the Mind, Marzano High-Yield Strategies, technology integration and infusion, and setting SMART goals, which are designed to be specific, measurable and attainable, results-driven, timely, and student-centered. In addition, we implemented Rachel's Challenge, a character education program, in our middle schools and at Springdale Elementary.

As a Professional Learning Community, Lexington Two will continue to focus on effective instruction and academic success. In closing, we thank our Board of Trustees,

^{**} Prior year audited financial data available